13-P

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

S. R. 145708 Sl 1-2
March 1909

1910-11
(S. R.)

File No. 166704

Serial Nos. 1 and 2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 and 2

Part B for February 1917

SUBJECT.

Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from Toddy of Sarvamangam Thammadahalli, Sagode Hangrapura villages, Chamarajanagar Tq, Mysore Dt

---

Slq

No 7L 4428-9 dt 8.2.17.

Holds that the Inamdars are privileged in respect of the two villages and not of the third.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

A. Janakiram
20-3-17.

G.R.S. 11] 1910 · 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G. R)

NOTES.

File No. 166-09.

FILE HEADING.

Inamdar's claim to the Sirsi Rev: from toddy of Sarvanianayan Thammadihalli, Sagodé & Hangerahma villages, Chamarajanagar Tk, Mysore Dt.

From

The Sirsi bank in Mysore.

No. 6018 Dated 25.1.1910 Received 31.1.1910.

Diary No. 2936 Enclos. (4+2 Tles) Spare Copies :- Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

In connexion with the opinion of the Investigation officer & the Board, the Sirsi bank recommends that the Inamdar is entitled to the Sirsi Rev: from toddy of Thammadihalli & Sadé, and not to that of Hangerahma

NOTES AND ORDERS.

There is express alienation of the revenue from "Echalpaim" in the Sanad & the item of sendi which was being enjoyed from the time of grant was conceded at the Inam Settlement. There is overwhelming

GPB—15,000—24-4-09.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S. R File No. 166 of 1909-10

(2

Serial No.

proof of enjoyment of the Sreise Rev: from toddy up to 1892-3 in respect of Hanumadi Halli + Sagade, the former containing a bottu a toddy Shop + a date tree + the latter only a tree. There is neither in Hangefma.

2 Thus the claim of Hanumaihalli + Sagade may be allowed + that of Hangefma disallowed.

3 The Sreise Bard reports that in the case of Sagade, there were no realizations during the farming system + invites his attention to his letter No. 4824 dtd 8.12.1909, which contains as it does a representation of the difficulty that exists in the actual working of rule 13 This point is under consideration + the Sreise Bard may be told to await the decision of Govt: in the matter of working Rule 13. Pending this decision, this reference may rest.

4 draft Sub[d] accordingly.

K.S. (70). Phrase add a para to the G.O. 2/2/10 regarding Hangefma.

2/2/10 VS) Attended to. 2/2/11

5 The claim to the revenue of two only three villages

2.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

[P. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

16 (3)

SR File No. 166 of 1909

Serial No.

is
Are recommended for recognition

To Let the file lie over pending the revision of the rules as ordered in other similar cases

Sd

13/2/11.

7 The claim for the two villages referred to above is admissible -

Revenue).

6.11.16.

Resubd for orders.
24/11/16.

& For orders.

246
22.11.16.

1st & 2nd Members)

Hk 24/11/16

Hanamshalli Sagade may be allowed & Hangapura disallowed

K
13.11

747
23.11

to 9 agrs
each

1/12/16

Hk 1-12-16

Hk 23/11/16

Submitted for approval keeping views as above.

For Devan's order please see General order on note sheet in SR (27-0). The order is as follows:- "Orders may issue in consonance with the opinion of the Members of Council whenever they both agree".

Dewan)

Hk 8/12/16

Draft-accpt
K
24.1.17

(22) Draft is subd
23/1/17

A draft is subd for orders.
Put up a clean draft & submit to Revenue)
K
30.1.17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—50,000—12-8-16

(4

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 166 of 1909.

Serial No.	
74 2-2-17	2nd December). hm 2-2-17 1842
	4 c
	1) Issue hm 5-2-17 1842
2.	To the Excise Commissioner and Comptroller No 7L 4428-9 D 8-2-17 Holds that the Inamdars are privileged in respect of the two villages and not of the third

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

D2936 1/

19

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esqr.
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

S.R-166-09
Dno-1

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esqr. B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 25-11 January 1910.

Despatched

Received

General No. 6018

Enclosure No. 1 & 2 6 6s

SUBJECT.

Submitting the records of enquiry relating to the claim of the Inamdars to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Sarvamangalam Mammadihalli, Lagade and Hangerapura villages in the Chamarajanagar Taluk of the Mysore District.

GPB—2,000—31-7-09

No 6018
E:1d 2 folios

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 25th January *19* 10

From

H.H.Sparkes Esquire,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C.S.Balasundram Iyer Esquire,B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith the records of enquiry relating to the claim of the Inamdars to the Excise revenue from toddy of Sarvamanyam Thammadahalli, Sagode and Hangrepura villages in the Chamarajanagar Taluk of the Mysore District together with the Memo No.362, dated the 6th December 1909 of the Deputy Commissioner forwarding the investigating officer's letter No.2791, dated the 29th November 1909, and to state as follows:-

2. From the authenticated copy of the individual deed of gift filed in the records it would appear that the four villages of Thammadahalli, Sagode, Hangrepura and Podnakodu Hosahalli were apportioned into 21 vritties and gifted as Agrahar Sarvamanyam on the 2nd September 1830 under Sannads granted individually to the Vrittidars by Her Highness Maharani Putta Gowrajammanni Avaru with the sanction of His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wadayar III. This Sannad among other items of revenue concedes

3)

concedes "Eachalpairu" to the Inamdars. The term occurring along with other items of taxation has to be construed to mean the Excise revenue from toddy consistently with the decisions passed in the case of Sivanasamudra, Hariharpur, Udapur, Taridale, Karagahalli and other villages. Final orders have been passed by Government as regards Padnakodu Hosahalli in their Proceedings No. Fl.4324-6-S.R.145-08-2, dated the 27th March 1909.

3. In the unauthenticated copy of the Dhruva Ondige dated the same day as the Sannad it is seen that sums as noted in the margin are included in the assets of the total beriz of the villages of Thammadihalli, Sagode, and Hagrepura. In the Huzur Sarvamanya kaifiet Account of 1838 entered in column 14 of the Inam register of Enquiry of Thammadihalli a sum of 5-6-14 is shown as the revenue from Panchbab of the aforesaid four villages. The beriz of Virodhi a year previous to the grant also gives an income of 4-1-6 from the same source. Further, the account of 1838 shows a revenue of 1-2-0 from sendi in Thammadihalli village. These accounts are a little contradictory but no attempt can be made to reconcile them. These may be taken to indicate that toddy was one of the sources of revenue at the time of alienation.

Thammadihalli	0-6-0
Sagode	1-2-0
Hangrepura	0-3-8

4. At the Inam Settlement conducted in the years 1879 and 1880, the then Inam Assistant Mr.B.Rajagopaliah has entered in column 19 of the Inam register of Enquiry of Thammadihalli village

4j

village that " the items of Sayer, sendi and Forests except sandal are enjoyed." Sendi is also mentioned as one of the items enjoyed. The Inam Superintendent has recorded in column 20 that the items of Sayer and toddy are conceded. As regards Sagode and Hangrepura, The Inam Assistant has recorded sendi is one of the items enjoyed in the case of the former while in the case of the latter no such entry is to be found. However, in the case of both the villages the Assistant refers to his remarks in the Asli case of Thammadihalli. The Inam Superintendent also has referred to his decision in the Asli case for orders in both the cases.

5. Of the three villages, Thammadihalli is reported to contain both a toddy shop and a date grove while Sagode has only a date grove and there is neither in Hangrepura.

6. In proof of enjoyment of the Excise revenue from toddy the Inamdar has produced only two Mutchalikas. The first agreement is dated 27-2-1871 and is executed by Messrs R.N.Captain Taylor and Co. It is for a period of five years from 1-4-1870 to 1-3-1875 and relates to the two villages of Thammadihalli and Sagode only. It further recites enjoyment of the Excise revenue from toddy prior to 1868. There is no reference whatsoever to Hangrepura. The second document is also for a period of five years from 1-4-1875 to 31-3-1880, and is executed by Messrs Brown and Ruchmal. Here also the rental agreed to be paid is on account of the aforesaid two villages only. The records in the revenue Miscellaneous cases

cases No.11 of 1889-90 and Nos.4 & 5 of 1891-92 and the Government order No.1193, dated the 26th March 1890, prove the enjoyment of the revenue by the Inamdar during the years 1887-88 to 1891-92. As regards the period 1880 to 1887 it is reported that the Mutchalikas and other documentary evidence had been produced at an enquiry held in 1903 and that the records of this investigation are not forthcoming. But it is to be doubted whether any agreements other than the ones above referred to were produced in as much as the only exhibits filed in the revenue Miscellaneous cases were the said two agreements. These cases were heard prior to 1903 and there is no reason why the additional Mutchalikas, if any, should not have been filed along with the two now produced. However, this want of evidence for the period of seven years may not materially affect the decision as the Inamdar has successfully proved enjoyment both prior and subsequent to the said interim.

7. "Eachalpairu" is conceded in the Sannad and "Sendi" is included in the "beriz" of the villages. The Inam Settlement recognises the right of the Inamdar to the toddy revenue of all the three villages. There is proof of enjoyment of this revenue in the villages of Thammadihalli and Sagode by the Inamdar for more than twelve years preceding 1892-93 while no such evidence is forthcoming in respect of Hangrepura which is reported to be a depopulated village with neither a toddy shop nor a date grove.

6

In the case of Sagode it is observed from the sale lists that no "Grove Farm" was sold by Government in this village and consequently the proprietor did not derive any revenue from Government contractors under the Farming system which was in force for five years from 1892-93 to 1896-97. The claim of the Inamdar to the Excise revenue from toddy of Thammadihalli has, therefore, to be allowed but in regard to Sagode reference is invited to my letter No.4824, dated the 8th December 1909. Though the Sannad concedes the right and the Inam Settlement recognises the same, the Inamdar cannot be said to be entitled to the toddy revenue of Hangrepura village as the right has fallen into deseutude long ago and cannot now be revived.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.